

# Indicators for early RA

2022 - 2026

Published 15 May 2026

[info@srq.nu](mailto:info@srq.nu)



**SRQ**

Svensk Reumatologis Kvalitetsregister

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Process</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>DAS28</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>VAS Pain</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>CDAI</b>	<b>16</b>

# List of Tables

2.1	Percentage of patients with at least one visit in SRQ after 1 year from diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	3
2.2	Percentage of patients with at least one DAS28 value 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	4
2.3	Percentage of patients with at least one VAS pain value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	5
2.4	Percentage of patients with at least one CDAI value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	6
2.5	Percentage of patients with a registration in the cardiovascular module within 15 months (goal $\geq 75\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	7
3.1	Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 $\leq 3.2$ ) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 75\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	9
3.2	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 $\leq 3.2$ ) 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	10
3.3	Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached remission (DAS28 $< 2.6$ ) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 55\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	11
3.4	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached remission (DAS28 $< 2.6$ ) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 55\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	12

---

4.1	Percentage of patients (with no missing value of VAS pain) who reached VAS pain < 20 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq$ 50%), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	14
4.2	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached VAS pain <20 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	15
5.1	Percentage of patients (with no missing CDAI) who reached CDAI $\leq$ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	17
5.2	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached CDAI $\leq$ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.	18

# 1 Introduction

The following analyses are based on all patients with newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis (RA) diagnosed between 2022 and 2026 (450 days before the data extraction), with a follow-up visit one year after diagnosis (defined as a visit between 9 and 15 months). If a patient had more than one visit during this time window, the visit with the best (i.e., lowest) value of DAS28, VAS pain and CDAI, respectively, was used in the analyses.

Note that the indicators have been changed and the year is now the year of the follow-up visit instead of earlier the year of diagnosis. This change has been made in dialogue with NPO reumatiska sjukdomar and is also completed in Vården i Siffror.

# 2 Process

In this section, the process of evaluating patients one year after diagnosis is evaluated. We have analyzed all patients with RA as defined above.

## In this section

2.1	Percentage of patients with at least one visit in SRQ after 1 year from diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	3
2.2	Percentage of patients with at least one DAS28 value 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	4
2.3	Percentage of patients with at least one VAS pain value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	5
2.4	Percentage of patients with at least one CDAI value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	6
2.5	Percentage of patients with a registration in the cardiovascular module within 15 months (goal $\geq 75\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up. . . .	7

Table 2.1: Percentage of patients with at least one visit in SRQ after 1 year from diagnosis (goal  $\geq 90\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	65% (898/1384)	72% (1135/1575)	69% (1041/1499)	69% (1035/1504)	69% (338/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	65% (32/49)	85% (47/55)	88% (50/57)	91% (58/64)	80% (16/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	29% (2/7)	54% (7/13)	50% (7/14)	75% (9/12)	54% (7/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	20% (1/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	38% (5/13)	50% (3/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	56% (5/9)	89% (8/9)	33% (5/15)	50% (4/8)	<5
Borås sjh	43% (12/28)	42% (11/26)	62% (16/26)	47% (7/15)	57% (4/7)
Capio Movement	91% (31/34)	88% (22/25)	82% (28/34)	82% (41/50)	81% (13/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	65% (13/20)	62% (8/13)	56% (10/18)	68% (21/31)	62% (8/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	41% (12/29)	50% (17/34)	22% (2/9)	73% (8/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	83% (24/29)	63% (24/38)	77% (20/26)	74% (28/38)	40% (4/10)
CFR Stockholm	89% (102/115)	92% (155/168)	89% (140/158)	82% (112/136)	76% (38/50)
Falu las.	80% (45/56)	75% (59/79)	79% (50/63)	81% (66/81)	76% (22/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	42% (27/64)	33% (21/64)	43% (22/51)	30% (13/43)	69% (9/13)
Helsingborgs las.	14% (2/14)	20% (7/35)	37% (10/27)	42% (8/19)	33% (2/6)
Karolinska US	70% (142/204)	73% (163/224)	65% (144/223)	67% (151/226)	81% (57/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	81% (17/21)	77% (17/22)	65% (20/31)	68% (23/34)	43% (3/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	38% (21/55)	58% (38/66)	37% (26/70)	19% (12/63)	7% (1/15)
Linköpings US	47% (27/57)	72% (62/86)	75% (58/77)	66% (42/64)	58% (11/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	89% (41/46)	88% (46/52)	88% (42/48)	97% (36/37)	81% (13/16)
Norrlands US	97% (34/35)	92% (46/50)	89% (32/36)	72% (33/46)	67% (10/15)
Örebro US	52% (23/44)	62% (28/45)	77% (34/44)	58% (25/43)	81% (13/16)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	46% (6/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	47% (8/17)	83% (5/6)	90% (9/10)	90% (28/31)	100% (7/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	53% (48/90)	80% (106/132)	69% (77/111)	72% (84/116)	78% (25/32)
Skånes US Lund	67% (47/70)	72% (50/69)	76% (51/67)	79% (56/71)	86% (18/21)
Skånes US Malmö	33% (6/18)	44% (8/18)	60% (15/25)	41% (13/32)	56% (5/9)
Skövde sjh	91% (61/67)	89% (55/62)	86% (44/51)	90% (43/48)	89% (16/18)
Sunderby sjh	46% (16/35)	67% (24/36)	64% (28/44)	67% (22/33)	71% (5/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	14% (3/21)	38% (8/21)	28% (5/18)	33% (5/15)	29% (2/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	67% (6/9)	81% (13/16)	93% (14/15)	80% (4/5)
Uddevalla sjh	63% (17/27)	86% (32/37)	57% (17/30)	67% (20/30)	50% (6/12)
Västerås sjh	77% (33/43)	93% (28/30)	85% (34/40)	71% (24/34)	58% (7/12)
Västerviks sjh	78% (29/37)	79% (19/24)	68% (19/28)	52% (13/25)	62% (5/8)
Visby las.	50% (8/16)	45% (5/11)	61% (11/18)	61% (11/18)	<5

Table 2.2: Percentage of patients with at least one DAS28 value 1 year after diagnosis (goal  $\geq 90\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	50% (695/1384)	55% (861/1575)	53% (787/1499)	52% (783/1504)	51% (248/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	41% (20/49)	62% (34/55)	51% (29/57)	48% (31/64)	55% (11/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	0% (0/7)	8% (1/13)	14% (2/14)	58% (7/12)	38% (5/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	15% (2/13)	17% (1/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	56% (5/9)	78% (7/9)	20% (3/15)	38% (3/8)	<5
Borås sjh	36% (10/28)	38% (10/26)	38% (10/26)	40% (6/15)	57% (4/7)
Capio Movement	50% (17/34)	52% (13/25)	74% (25/34)	60% (30/50)	56% (9/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	25% (5/20)	0% (0/13)	17% (3/18)	35% (11/31)	23% (3/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	28% (8/29)	21% (7/34)	11% (1/9)	73% (8/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	66% (19/29)	61% (23/38)	62% (16/26)	63% (24/38)	30% (3/10)
CFR Stockholm	79% (91/115)	71% (120/168)	75% (119/158)	72% (98/136)	66% (33/50)
Falu las.	66% (37/56)	70% (55/79)	68% (43/63)	69% (56/81)	59% (17/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	30% (19/64)	27% (17/64)	39% (20/51)	21% (9/43)	69% (9/13)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/14)	6% (2/35)	4% (1/27)	11% (2/19)	0% (0/6)
Karolinska US	55% (112/204)	55% (123/224)	48% (107/223)	51% (116/226)	54% (38/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	62% (13/21)	59% (13/22)	52% (16/31)	53% (18/34)	29% (2/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	33% (18/55)	50% (33/66)	30% (21/70)	13% (8/63)	0% (0/15)
Linköpings US	39% (22/57)	56% (48/86)	55% (42/77)	52% (33/64)	37% (7/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	78% (36/46)	83% (43/52)	54% (26/48)	59% (22/37)	44% (7/16)
Norrlands US	77% (27/35)	88% (44/50)	81% (29/36)	57% (26/46)	60% (9/15)
Örebro US	36% (16/44)	44% (20/45)	61% (27/44)	44% (19/43)	44% (7/16)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	15% (2/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	35% (6/17)	33% (2/6)	40% (4/10)	65% (20/31)	29% (2/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	40% (36/90)	56% (74/132)	45% (50/111)	50% (58/116)	62% (20/32)
Skånes US Lund	37% (26/70)	45% (31/69)	63% (42/67)	56% (40/71)	62% (13/21)
Skånes US Malmö	11% (2/18)	17% (3/18)	20% (5/25)	19% (6/32)	44% (4/9)
Skövde sjh	90% (60/67)	76% (47/62)	82% (42/51)	79% (38/48)	78% (14/18)
Sunderby sjh	43% (15/35)	42% (15/36)	52% (23/44)	48% (16/33)	57% (4/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	10% (2/21)	24% (5/21)	22% (4/18)	20% (3/15)	14% (1/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	44% (4/9)	56% (9/16)	87% (13/15)	80% (4/5)
Uddevalla sjh	48% (13/27)	54% (20/37)	47% (14/30)	60% (18/30)	42% (5/12)
Västerås sjh	58% (25/43)	80% (24/30)	60% (24/40)	56% (19/34)	58% (7/12)
Västerviks sjh	70% (26/37)	67% (16/24)	61% (17/28)	48% (12/25)	62% (5/8)
Visby las.	31% (5/16)	45% (5/11)	61% (11/18)	61% (11/18)	<5

Table 2.3: Percentage of patients with at least one VAS pain value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	54% (751/1384)	61% (956/1575)	57% (848/1499)	55% (831/1504)	54% (265/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	45% (22/49)	71% (39/55)	53% (30/57)	66% (42/64)	55% (11/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	14% (1/7)	31% (4/13)	21% (3/14)	58% (7/12)	31% (4/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	23% (3/13)	17% (1/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	56% (5/9)	89% (8/9)	20% (3/15)	38% (3/8)	<5
Borås sjh	39% (11/28)	38% (10/26)	46% (12/26)	40% (6/15)	57% (4/7)
Capio Movement	53% (18/34)	64% (16/25)	74% (25/34)	66% (33/50)	69% (11/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	35% (7/20)	8% (1/13)	28% (5/18)	55% (17/31)	54% (7/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	34% (10/29)	50% (17/34)	22% (2/9)	73% (8/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	79% (23/29)	61% (23/38)	65% (17/26)	66% (25/38)	30% (3/10)
CFR Stockholm	82% (94/115)	79% (132/168)	79% (125/158)	71% (97/136)	66% (33/50)
Falu las.	73% (41/56)	70% (55/79)	71% (45/63)	75% (61/81)	72% (21/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	31% (20/64)	27% (17/64)	39% (20/51)	23% (10/43)	69% (9/13)
Helsingborgs las.	14% (2/14)	17% (6/35)	19% (5/27)	21% (4/19)	33% (2/6)
Karolinska US	59% (120/204)	60% (135/224)	51% (113/223)	54% (122/226)	63% (44/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	67% (14/21)	64% (14/22)	58% (18/31)	56% (19/34)	29% (2/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	38% (21/55)	55% (36/66)	34% (24/70)	17% (11/63)	0% (0/15)
Linköpings US	42% (24/57)	66% (57/86)	56% (43/77)	52% (33/64)	32% (6/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	78% (36/46)	87% (45/52)	58% (28/48)	57% (21/37)	62% (10/16)
Norrlands US	89% (31/35)	90% (45/50)	83% (30/36)	65% (30/46)	67% (10/15)
Örebro US	43% (19/44)	53% (24/45)	66% (29/44)	51% (22/43)	44% (7/16)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	23% (3/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	35% (6/17)	33% (2/6)	60% (6/10)	68% (21/31)	29% (2/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	41% (37/90)	58% (77/132)	47% (52/111)	44% (51/116)	62% (20/32)
Skånes US Lund	40% (28/70)	54% (37/69)	70% (47/67)	56% (40/71)	57% (12/21)
Skånes US Malmö	22% (4/18)	17% (3/18)	44% (11/25)	25% (8/32)	44% (4/9)
Skövde sjh	90% (60/67)	82% (51/62)	86% (44/51)	83% (40/48)	83% (15/18)
Sunderby sjh	46% (16/35)	58% (21/36)	64% (28/44)	64% (21/33)	57% (4/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	14% (3/21)	33% (7/21)	28% (5/18)	20% (3/15)	14% (1/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	67% (6/9)	69% (11/16)	87% (13/15)	80% (4/5)
Uddevalla sjh	52% (14/27)	57% (21/37)	47% (14/30)	63% (19/30)	50% (6/12)
Västerås sjh	65% (28/43)	77% (23/30)	62% (25/40)	56% (19/34)	58% (7/12)
Västerviks sjh	68% (25/37)	71% (17/24)	54% (15/28)	44% (11/25)	12% (1/8)
Visby las.	31% (5/16)	45% (5/11)	61% (11/18)	61% (11/18)	<5

Table 2.4: Percentage of patients with at least one CDAI value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	25% (352/1384)	27% (433/1575)	26% (385/1499)	24% (356/1504)	21% (104/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	18% (9/49)	36% (20/55)	25% (14/57)	25% (16/64)	35% (7/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	0% (0/7)	0% (0/13)	7% (1/14)	33% (4/12)	38% (5/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	15% (2/13)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	22% (2/9)	22% (2/9)	0% (0/15)	12% (1/8)	<5
Borås sjh	7% (2/28)	23% (6/26)	19% (5/26)	20% (3/15)	14% (1/7)
Capio Movement	32% (11/34)	8% (2/25)	6% (2/34)	26% (13/50)	6% (1/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	15% (3/20)	0% (0/13)	0% (0/18)	3% (1/31)	0% (0/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	17% (5/29)	18% (6/34)	0% (0/9)	27% (3/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	66% (19/29)	47% (18/38)	62% (16/26)	50% (19/38)	20% (2/10)
CFR Stockholm	56% (64/115)	38% (63/168)	39% (61/158)	29% (40/136)	32% (16/50)
Falu las.	2% (1/56)	1% (1/79)	2% (1/63)	1% (1/81)	3% (1/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	16% (10/64)	12% (8/64)	20% (10/51)	12% (5/43)	8% (1/13)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/14)	14% (5/35)	7% (2/27)	16% (3/19)	17% (1/6)
Karolinska US	32% (66/204)	31% (70/224)	26% (57/223)	30% (68/226)	29% (20/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	43% (9/21)	18% (4/22)	19% (6/31)	9% (3/34)	29% (2/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	24% (13/55)	41% (27/66)	24% (17/70)	5% (3/63)	0% (0/15)
Linköpings US	37% (21/57)	55% (47/86)	38% (29/77)	36% (23/64)	11% (2/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	39% (18/46)	33% (17/52)	38% (18/48)	43% (16/37)	25% (4/16)
Norrlands US	20% (7/35)	10% (5/50)	8% (3/36)	7% (3/46)	13% (2/15)
Örebro US	16% (7/44)	44% (20/45)	55% (24/44)	40% (17/43)	31% (5/16)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	8% (1/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	12% (2/17)	0% (0/6)	30% (3/10)	6% (2/31)	14% (1/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	16% (14/90)	21% (28/132)	14% (15/111)	14% (16/116)	19% (6/32)
Skånes US Lund	39% (27/70)	46% (32/69)	66% (44/67)	54% (38/71)	52% (11/21)
Skånes US Malmö	17% (3/18)	17% (3/18)	28% (7/25)	19% (6/32)	44% (4/9)
Skövde sjh	30% (20/67)	53% (33/62)	65% (33/51)	71% (34/48)	44% (8/18)
Sunderby sjh	3% (1/35)	3% (1/36)	0% (0/44)	0% (0/33)	0% (0/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	0% (0/21)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/18)	7% (1/15)	0% (0/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	11% (1/9)	19% (3/16)	33% (5/15)	0% (0/5)
Uddevalla sjh	15% (4/27)	11% (4/37)	10% (3/30)	17% (5/30)	17% (2/12)
Västerås sjh	30% (13/43)	30% (9/30)	20% (8/40)	9% (3/34)	17% (2/12)
Västerviks sjh	0% (0/37)	0% (0/24)	0% (0/28)	4% (1/25)	0% (0/8)
Visby las.	0% (0/16)	0% (0/11)	17% (3/18)	6% (1/18)	<5

Table 2.5: Percentage of patients with a registration in the cardiovascular module within 15 months (goal  $\geq 75\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	21% (250/1210)	32% (443/1373)	39% (520/1317)	40% (518/1299)	38% (160/424)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	27% (11/41)	91% (43/47)	82% (40/49)	77% (43/56)	100% (16/16)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	0% (0/5)	0% (0/12)	0% (0/13)	33% (4/12)	0% (0/13)
Arvika sjh	0	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	0% (0/8)	<5	0% (0/10)	20% (1/5)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	0% (0/9)	0% (0/8)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/7)	<5
Borås sjh	0% (0/24)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/25)	0% (0/13)	14% (1/7)
Capio Movement	4% (1/26)	57% (12/21)	73% (22/30)	48% (20/42)	43% (6/14)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	33% (6/18)	67% (8/12)	33% (5/15)	67% (20/30)	10% (1/10)
Centralsjh Karlstad	0% (0/22)	0% (0/29)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/10)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	0% (0/26)	9% (3/35)	26% (6/23)	23% (8/35)	0% (0/10)
CFR Stockholm	54% (50/93)	71% (99/139)	84% (114/136)	63% (69/110)	54% (25/46)
Falu las.	63% (32/51)	64% (47/73)	55% (31/56)	62% (48/77)	59% (16/27)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	0% (0/56)	0% (0/59)	0% (0/47)	0% (0/42)	0% (0/10)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/12)	0% (0/26)	0% (0/25)	0% (0/15)	0% (0/6)
Karolinska US	46% (84/183)	57% (110/193)	63% (125/198)	61% (114/188)	53% (30/57)
Länssjh Kalmar	0% (0/19)	0% (0/20)	0% (0/26)	0% (0/29)	0% (0/5)
Länssjh Ryhov	0% (0/52)	0% (0/58)	0% (0/61)	0% (0/55)	0% (0/13)
Linköpings US	2% (1/51)	1% (1/75)	1% (1/70)	4% (2/57)	6% (1/16)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	5% (2/42)	0% (0/46)	2% (1/45)	0% (0/32)	0% (0/15)
Norrlands US	0% (0/27)	20% (9/44)	59% (19/32)	92% (35/38)	67% (8/12)
Örebro US	0% (0/36)	10% (4/40)	21% (8/38)	19% (7/36)	15% (2/13)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	0% (0/9)	<5	0	0	0
Östersunds sjh	0% (0/15)	80% (4/5)	67% (6/9)	62% (16/26)	80% (4/5)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	13% (10/78)	30% (34/113)	36% (35/98)	43% (40/94)	67% (20/30)
Skånes US Lund	0% (0/63)	18% (11/60)	68% (39/57)	55% (36/65)	61% (11/18)
Skånes US Malmö	0% (0/15)	0% (0/16)	0% (0/18)	0% (0/28)	0% (0/6)
Skövde sjh	34% (21/61)	57% (31/54)	86% (37/43)	91% (39/43)	69% (11/16)
Sunderby sjh	0% (0/33)	0% (0/33)	0% (0/37)	0% (0/27)	0% (0/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	0% (0/21)	0% (0/19)	0% (0/17)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0% (0/7)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/14)	<5
Uddevalla sjh	23% (6/26)	14% (5/35)	11% (3/28)	18% (5/28)	11% (1/9)
Västerås sjh	57% (21/37)	61% (17/28)	56% (20/36)	33% (9/27)	45% (5/11)
Västerviks sjh	0% (0/33)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/22)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/6)
Visby las.	33% (5/15)	50% (5/10)	50% (8/16)	19% (3/16)	<5

# 3 DAS28

## In this section

3.1	Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 $\leq$ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq$ 75%), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	9
3.2	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 $\leq$ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	10
3.3	Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached remission (DAS28 $<$ 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq$ 55%), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	11
3.4	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached remission (DAS28 $<$ 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq$ 55%), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	12

Table 3.1: Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached low disease activity (DAS28  $\leq$  3.2) 1 year after diagnosis (goal  $\geq$  75%), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	69% (477/695)	72% (622/861)	73% (571/787)	73% (573/783)	76% (189/248)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	70% (14/20)	76% (26/34)	76% (22/29)	71% (22/31)	82% (9/11)
Ängelholms sjh	0	<5	<5	100% (7/7)	60% (3/5)
Arvika sjh	0	0	<5	0	0
Blekingesjh	0	<5	0	<5	<5
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	100% (5/5)	86% (6/7)	<5	<5	<5
Borås sjh	70% (7/10)	70% (7/10)	90% (9/10)	67% (4/6)	<5
Capio Movement	76% (13/17)	92% (12/13)	64% (16/25)	73% (22/30)	89% (8/9)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	0	0	0	0
Centrallas. Växjö	100% (5/5)	0	<5	91% (10/11)	<5
Centralsjh Karlstad	75% (6/8)	86% (6/7)	<5	75% (6/8)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	79% (15/19)	65% (15/23)	62% (10/16)	58% (14/24)	<5
CFR Stockholm	69% (63/91)	72% (86/120)	70% (83/119)	77% (75/98)	79% (26/33)
Falu las.	84% (31/37)	75% (41/55)	84% (36/43)	73% (41/56)	82% (14/17)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	63% (12/19)	71% (12/17)	60% (12/20)	78% (7/9)	67% (6/9)
Helsingborgs las.	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Karolinska US	65% (73/112)	76% (94/123)	79% (85/107)	70% (81/116)	76% (29/38)
Länssjh Kalmar	62% (8/13)	85% (11/13)	75% (12/16)	61% (11/18)	<5
Länssjh Ryhov	61% (11/18)	85% (28/33)	48% (10/21)	62% (5/8)	0
Linköpings US	86% (19/22)	77% (37/48)	81% (34/42)	85% (28/33)	71% (5/7)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	72% (26/36)	60% (26/43)	77% (20/26)	82% (18/22)	57% (4/7)
Norrlands US	59% (16/27)	68% (30/44)	69% (20/29)	65% (17/26)	56% (5/9)
Örebro US	75% (12/16)	85% (17/20)	78% (21/27)	63% (12/19)	100% (7/7)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	0	0	0	0
Östersunds sjh	50% (3/6)	<5	<5	65% (13/20)	<5
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	67% (24/36)	74% (55/74)	86% (43/50)	79% (46/58)	90% (18/20)
Skånes US Lund	65% (17/26)	58% (18/31)	74% (31/42)	82% (33/40)	92% (12/13)
Skånes US Malmö	<5	<5	60% (3/5)	33% (2/6)	<5
Skövde sjh	65% (39/60)	64% (30/47)	71% (30/42)	89% (34/38)	93% (13/14)
Sunderby sjh	40% (6/15)	67% (10/15)	43% (10/23)	38% (6/16)	<5
Sundsvalls sjh	<5	40% (2/5)	<5	<5	<5
Trelleborgs las.	0	<5	67% (6/9)	62% (8/13)	<5
Uddevalla sjh	62% (8/13)	80% (16/20)	86% (12/14)	83% (15/18)	40% (2/5)
Västerås sjh	76% (19/25)	62% (15/24)	58% (14/24)	68% (13/19)	43% (3/7)
Västerviks sjh	62% (16/26)	75% (12/16)	59% (10/17)	67% (8/12)	80% (4/5)
Visby las.	60% (3/5)	40% (2/5)	73% (8/11)	73% (8/11)	<5

Table 3.2: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached low disease activity (DAS28  $\leq$  3.2) 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	34% (477/1384)	39% (622/1575)	38% (571/1499)	38% (573/1504)	39% (189/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	29% (14/49)	47% (26/55)	39% (22/57)	34% (22/64)	45% (9/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	0% (0/7)	0% (0/13)	7% (1/14)	58% (7/12)	23% (3/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	8% (1/13)	17% (1/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	56% (5/9)	67% (6/9)	20% (3/15)	38% (3/8)	<5
Borås sjh	25% (7/28)	27% (7/26)	35% (9/26)	27% (4/15)	57% (4/7)
Capio Movement	38% (13/34)	48% (12/25)	47% (16/34)	44% (22/50)	50% (8/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	25% (5/20)	0% (0/13)	17% (3/18)	32% (10/31)	15% (2/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	21% (6/29)	18% (6/34)	11% (1/9)	55% (6/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	52% (15/29)	39% (15/38)	38% (10/26)	37% (14/38)	30% (3/10)
CFR Stockholm	55% (63/115)	51% (86/168)	53% (83/158)	55% (75/136)	52% (26/50)
Falu las.	55% (31/56)	52% (41/79)	57% (36/63)	51% (41/81)	48% (14/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	19% (12/64)	19% (12/64)	24% (12/51)	16% (7/43)	46% (6/13)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/14)	3% (1/35)	0% (0/27)	11% (2/19)	0% (0/6)
Karolinska US	36% (73/204)	42% (94/224)	38% (85/223)	36% (81/226)	41% (29/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	38% (8/21)	50% (11/22)	39% (12/31)	32% (11/34)	29% (2/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	20% (11/55)	42% (28/66)	14% (10/70)	8% (5/63)	0% (0/15)
Linköpings US	33% (19/57)	43% (37/86)	44% (34/77)	44% (28/64)	26% (5/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	57% (26/46)	50% (26/52)	42% (20/48)	49% (18/37)	25% (4/16)
Norrlands US	46% (16/35)	60% (30/50)	56% (20/36)	37% (17/46)	33% (5/15)
Örebro US	27% (12/44)	38% (17/45)	48% (21/44)	28% (12/43)	44% (7/16)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	8% (1/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	18% (3/17)	17% (1/6)	20% (2/10)	42% (13/31)	29% (2/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	27% (24/90)	42% (55/132)	39% (43/111)	40% (46/116)	56% (18/32)
Skånes US Lund	24% (17/70)	26% (18/69)	46% (31/67)	46% (33/71)	57% (12/21)
Skånes US Malmö	11% (2/18)	11% (2/18)	12% (3/25)	6% (2/32)	11% (1/9)
Skövde sjh	58% (39/67)	48% (30/62)	59% (30/51)	71% (34/48)	72% (13/18)
Sunderby sjh	17% (6/35)	28% (10/36)	23% (10/44)	18% (6/33)	0% (0/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	10% (2/21)	10% (2/21)	17% (3/18)	7% (1/15)	14% (1/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	33% (3/9)	38% (6/16)	53% (8/15)	40% (2/5)
Uddevalla sjh	30% (8/27)	43% (16/37)	40% (12/30)	50% (15/30)	17% (2/12)
Västerås sjh	44% (19/43)	50% (15/30)	35% (14/40)	38% (13/34)	25% (3/12)
Västerviks sjh	43% (16/37)	50% (12/24)	36% (10/28)	32% (8/25)	50% (4/8)
Visby las.	19% (3/16)	18% (2/11)	44% (8/18)	44% (8/18)	<5

Table 3.3: Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal  $\geq$  55%), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	52% (359/695)	53% (458/861)	55% (435/787)	54% (419/783)	60% (150/248)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	40% (8/20)	50% (17/34)	55% (16/29)	55% (17/31)	55% (6/11)
Ängelholms sjh	0	<5	<5	57% (4/7)	60% (3/5)
Arvika sjh	0	0	<5	0	0
Blekingesjh	0	<5	0	<5	<5
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	80% (4/5)	86% (6/7)	<5	<5	<5
Borås sjh	40% (4/10)	40% (4/10)	90% (9/10)	50% (3/6)	<5
Capio Movement	71% (12/17)	69% (9/13)	44% (11/25)	60% (18/30)	67% (6/9)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	0	0	0	0
Centrallas. Växjö	80% (4/5)	0	<5	64% (7/11)	<5
Centralsjh Karlstad	50% (4/8)	71% (5/7)	<5	62% (5/8)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	53% (10/19)	52% (12/23)	38% (6/16)	50% (12/24)	<5
CFR Stockholm	51% (46/91)	57% (68/120)	54% (64/119)	53% (52/98)	61% (20/33)
Falu las.	59% (22/37)	56% (31/55)	72% (31/43)	54% (30/56)	65% (11/17)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	37% (7/19)	59% (10/17)	40% (8/20)	44% (4/9)	56% (5/9)
Helsingborgs las.	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Karolinska US	48% (54/112)	58% (71/123)	64% (68/107)	54% (63/116)	61% (23/38)
Länssjh Kalmar	46% (6/13)	62% (8/13)	69% (11/16)	39% (7/18)	<5
Länssjh Ryhov	44% (8/18)	58% (19/33)	29% (6/21)	38% (3/8)	0
Linköpings US	59% (13/22)	71% (34/48)	74% (31/42)	64% (21/33)	57% (4/7)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	50% (18/36)	44% (19/43)	54% (14/26)	68% (15/22)	57% (4/7)
Norrlands US	52% (14/27)	39% (17/44)	52% (15/29)	58% (15/26)	44% (4/9)
Örebro US	69% (11/16)	50% (10/20)	63% (17/27)	47% (9/19)	57% (4/7)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	0	0	0	0
Östersunds sjh	33% (2/6)	<5	<5	45% (9/20)	<5
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	50% (18/36)	47% (35/74)	64% (32/50)	66% (38/58)	80% (16/20)
Skånes US Lund	62% (16/26)	39% (12/31)	43% (18/42)	52% (21/40)	85% (11/13)
Skånes US Malmö	<5	<5	20% (1/5)	33% (2/6)	<5
Skövde sjh	52% (31/60)	49% (23/47)	52% (22/42)	58% (22/38)	57% (8/14)
Sunderby sjh	33% (5/15)	53% (8/15)	22% (5/23)	25% (4/16)	<5
Sundsvalls sjh	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	<5	<5
Trelleborgs las.	0	<5	56% (5/9)	38% (5/13)	<5
Uddevalla sjh	46% (6/13)	70% (14/20)	79% (11/14)	72% (13/18)	40% (2/5)
Västerås sjh	64% (16/25)	50% (12/24)	38% (9/24)	32% (6/19)	29% (2/7)
Västerviks sjh	54% (14/26)	50% (8/16)	47% (8/17)	33% (4/12)	80% (4/5)
Visby las.	40% (2/5)	20% (1/5)	55% (6/11)	45% (5/11)	<5

Table 3.4: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal  $\geq$  55%), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	26% (359/1384)	29% (458/1575)	29% (435/1499)	28% (419/1504)	31% (150/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	16% (8/49)	31% (17/55)	28% (16/57)	27% (17/64)	30% (6/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	0% (0/7)	0% (0/13)	0% (0/14)	33% (4/12)	23% (3/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/13)	17% (1/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	44% (4/9)	67% (6/9)	13% (2/15)	25% (2/8)	<5
Borås sjh	14% (4/28)	15% (4/26)	35% (9/26)	20% (3/15)	57% (4/7)
Capio Movement	35% (12/34)	36% (9/25)	32% (11/34)	36% (18/50)	38% (6/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	20% (4/20)	0% (0/13)	17% (3/18)	23% (7/31)	15% (2/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	14% (4/29)	15% (5/34)	11% (1/9)	45% (5/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	34% (10/29)	32% (12/38)	23% (6/26)	32% (12/38)	30% (3/10)
CFR Stockholm	40% (46/115)	40% (68/168)	41% (64/158)	38% (52/136)	40% (20/50)
Falu las.	39% (22/56)	39% (31/79)	49% (31/63)	37% (30/81)	38% (11/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	11% (7/64)	16% (10/64)	16% (8/51)	9% (4/43)	38% (5/13)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/14)	3% (1/35)	0% (0/27)	11% (2/19)	0% (0/6)
Karolinska US	26% (54/204)	32% (71/224)	30% (68/223)	28% (63/226)	33% (23/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	29% (6/21)	36% (8/22)	35% (11/31)	21% (7/34)	14% (1/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	15% (8/55)	29% (19/66)	9% (6/70)	5% (3/63)	0% (0/15)
Linköpings US	23% (13/57)	40% (34/86)	40% (31/77)	33% (21/64)	21% (4/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	39% (18/46)	37% (19/52)	29% (14/48)	41% (15/37)	25% (4/16)
Norrlands US	40% (14/35)	34% (17/50)	42% (15/36)	33% (15/46)	27% (4/15)
Örebro US	25% (11/44)	22% (10/45)	39% (17/44)	21% (9/43)	25% (4/16)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	8% (1/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	12% (2/17)	17% (1/6)	10% (1/10)	29% (9/31)	29% (2/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	20% (18/90)	27% (35/132)	29% (32/111)	33% (38/116)	50% (16/32)
Skånes US Lund	23% (16/70)	17% (12/69)	27% (18/67)	30% (21/71)	52% (11/21)
Skånes US Malmö	6% (1/18)	0% (0/18)	4% (1/25)	6% (2/32)	11% (1/9)
Skövde sjh	46% (31/67)	37% (23/62)	43% (22/51)	46% (22/48)	44% (8/18)
Sunderby sjh	14% (5/35)	22% (8/36)	11% (5/44)	12% (4/33)	0% (0/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	10% (2/21)	0% (0/21)	17% (3/18)	7% (1/15)	14% (1/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	22% (2/9)	31% (5/16)	33% (5/15)	20% (1/5)
Uddevalla sjh	22% (6/27)	38% (14/37)	37% (11/30)	43% (13/30)	17% (2/12)
Västerås sjh	37% (16/43)	40% (12/30)	22% (9/40)	18% (6/34)	17% (2/12)
Västerviks sjh	38% (14/37)	33% (8/24)	29% (8/28)	16% (4/25)	50% (4/8)
Visby las.	12% (2/16)	9% (1/11)	33% (6/18)	28% (5/18)	<5

# 4 VAS Pain

## In this section

4.1	Percentage of patients (with no missing value of VAS pain) who reached VAS pain < 20 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq$ 50%), by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	14
4.2	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached VAS pain <20 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . .	15

Table 4.1: Percentage of patients (with no missing value of VAS pain) who reached VAS pain < 20 1 year after diagnosis (goal  $\geq 50\%$ ), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	35% (260/751)	34% (324/956)	33% (283/848)	34% (285/831)	28% (73/265)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	23% (5/22)	41% (16/39)	40% (12/30)	45% (19/42)	18% (2/11)
Ängelholms sjh	<5	<5	<5	57% (4/7)	<5
Arvika sjh	0	0	<5	0	0
Blekingesjh	0	<5	0	<5	<5
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	60% (3/5)	12% (1/8)	<5	<5	<5
Borås sjh	27% (3/11)	40% (4/10)	50% (6/12)	0% (0/6)	<5
Capio Movement	44% (8/18)	31% (5/16)	24% (6/25)	15% (5/33)	27% (3/11)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	0	0	0	0
Centrallas. Växjö	29% (2/7)	<5	20% (1/5)	29% (5/17)	0% (0/7)
Centralsjh Karlstad	50% (5/10)	35% (6/17)	<5	38% (3/8)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	43% (10/23)	48% (11/23)	24% (4/17)	36% (9/25)	<5
CFR Stockholm	31% (29/94)	30% (40/132)	37% (46/125)	37% (36/97)	39% (13/33)
Falu las.	37% (15/41)	29% (16/55)	22% (10/45)	31% (19/61)	19% (4/21)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	15% (3/20)	35% (6/17)	15% (3/20)	40% (4/10)	11% (1/9)
Helsingborgs las.	<5	50% (3/6)	20% (1/5)	<5	<5
Karolinska US	37% (44/120)	39% (52/135)	46% (52/113)	40% (49/122)	41% (18/44)
Länssjh Kalmar	21% (3/14)	43% (6/14)	22% (4/18)	37% (7/19)	<5
Länssjh Ryhov	38% (8/21)	42% (15/36)	21% (5/24)	9% (1/11)	0
Linköpings US	21% (5/24)	37% (21/57)	33% (14/43)	27% (9/33)	33% (2/6)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	42% (15/36)	22% (10/45)	14% (4/28)	29% (6/21)	10% (1/10)
Norrlands US	39% (12/31)	22% (10/45)	13% (4/30)	20% (6/30)	20% (2/10)
Örebro US	37% (7/19)	29% (7/24)	48% (14/29)	23% (5/22)	29% (2/7)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	0	0	0	0
Östersunds sjh	17% (1/6)	<5	17% (1/6)	29% (6/21)	<5
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	35% (13/37)	36% (28/77)	44% (23/52)	41% (21/51)	35% (7/20)
Skånes US Lund	54% (15/28)	38% (14/37)	43% (20/47)	55% (22/40)	25% (3/12)
Skånes US Malmö	<5	<5	36% (4/11)	25% (2/8)	<5
Skövde sjh	33% (20/60)	39% (20/51)	34% (15/44)	32% (13/40)	33% (5/15)
Sunderby sjh	19% (3/16)	33% (7/21)	25% (7/28)	29% (6/21)	<5
Sundsvalls sjh	<5	14% (1/7)	20% (1/5)	<5	<5
Trelleborgs las.	<5	67% (4/6)	18% (2/11)	31% (4/13)	<5
Uddevalla sjh	7% (1/14)	38% (8/21)	36% (5/14)	42% (8/19)	50% (3/6)
Västerås sjh	43% (12/28)	26% (6/23)	40% (10/25)	37% (7/19)	14% (1/7)
Västerviks sjh	36% (9/25)	35% (6/17)	13% (2/15)	36% (4/11)	<5
Visby las.	20% (1/5)	0% (0/5)	18% (2/11)	18% (2/11)	<5

Table 4.2: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached VAS pain <20 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	19% (260/1384)	21% (324/1575)	19% (283/1499)	19% (285/1504)	15% (73/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	10% (5/49)	29% (16/55)	21% (12/57)	30% (19/64)	10% (2/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	14% (1/7)	0% (0/13)	7% (1/14)	33% (4/12)	0% (0/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/13)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	33% (3/9)	11% (1/9)	13% (2/15)	12% (1/8)	<5
Borås sjh	11% (3/28)	15% (4/26)	23% (6/26)	0% (0/15)	29% (2/7)
Capio Movement	24% (8/34)	20% (5/25)	18% (6/34)	10% (5/50)	19% (3/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	10% (2/20)	0% (0/13)	6% (1/18)	16% (5/31)	0% (0/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	17% (5/29)	18% (6/34)	11% (1/9)	27% (3/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	34% (10/29)	29% (11/38)	15% (4/26)	24% (9/38)	20% (2/10)
CFR Stockholm	25% (29/115)	24% (40/168)	29% (46/158)	26% (36/136)	26% (13/50)
Falu las.	27% (15/56)	20% (16/79)	16% (10/63)	23% (19/81)	14% (4/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	5% (3/64)	9% (6/64)	6% (3/51)	9% (4/43)	8% (1/13)
Helsingborgs las.	7% (1/14)	9% (3/35)	4% (1/27)	5% (1/19)	0% (0/6)
Karolinska US	22% (44/204)	23% (52/224)	23% (52/223)	22% (49/226)	26% (18/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	14% (3/21)	27% (6/22)	13% (4/31)	21% (7/34)	0% (0/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	15% (8/55)	23% (15/66)	7% (5/70)	2% (1/63)	0% (0/15)
Linköpings US	9% (5/57)	24% (21/86)	18% (14/77)	14% (9/64)	11% (2/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	33% (15/46)	19% (10/52)	8% (4/48)	16% (6/37)	6% (1/16)
Norrlands US	34% (12/35)	20% (10/50)	11% (4/36)	13% (6/46)	13% (2/15)
Örebro US	16% (7/44)	16% (7/45)	32% (14/44)	12% (5/43)	12% (2/16)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	8% (1/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	6% (1/17)	0% (0/6)	10% (1/10)	19% (6/31)	0% (0/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	14% (13/90)	21% (28/132)	21% (23/111)	18% (21/116)	22% (7/32)
Skånes US Lund	21% (15/70)	20% (14/69)	30% (20/67)	31% (22/71)	14% (3/21)
Skånes US Malmö	17% (3/18)	0% (0/18)	16% (4/25)	6% (2/32)	11% (1/9)
Skövde sjh	30% (20/67)	32% (20/62)	29% (15/51)	27% (13/48)	28% (5/18)
Sunderby sjh	9% (3/35)	19% (7/36)	16% (7/44)	18% (6/33)	0% (0/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	5% (1/21)	5% (1/21)	6% (1/18)	7% (1/15)	0% (0/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	44% (4/9)	12% (2/16)	27% (4/15)	0% (0/5)
Uddevalla sjh	4% (1/27)	22% (8/37)	17% (5/30)	27% (8/30)	25% (3/12)
Västerås sjh	28% (12/43)	20% (6/30)	25% (10/40)	21% (7/34)	8% (1/12)
Västerviks sjh	24% (9/37)	25% (6/24)	7% (2/28)	16% (4/25)	0% (0/8)
Visby las.	6% (1/16)	0% (0/11)	11% (2/18)	11% (2/18)	<5

# 5 CDAI

## In this section

- 5.1 Percentage of patients (with no missing CDAI) who reached CDAI  $\leq$  2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. . . . . 17
- 5.2 Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached CDAI  $\leq$  2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. 18

Table 5.1: Percentage of patients (with no missing CDAI) who reached CDAI ≤ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	35% (124/352)	37% (159/433)	39% (151/385)	33% (118/356)	39% (41/104)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	11% (1/9)	35% (7/20)	36% (5/14)	31% (5/16)	14% (1/7)
Ängelholms sjh	0	0	<5	<5	40% (2/5)
Blekingesjh	0	<5	0	<5	0
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	<5	<5	0	<5	0
Borås sjh	<5	50% (3/6)	20% (1/5)	<5	<5
Capio Movement	27% (3/11)	<5	<5	23% (3/13)	<5
Centrallas. Växjö	<5	0	0	<5	0
Centralsjh Karlstad	40% (2/5)	17% (1/6)	0	<5	0
Centralsjh Kristianstad	32% (6/19)	39% (7/18)	38% (6/16)	26% (5/19)	<5
CFR Stockholm	27% (17/64)	30% (19/63)	39% (24/61)	38% (15/40)	62% (10/16)
Falu las.	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	40% (4/10)	25% (2/8)	20% (2/10)	40% (2/5)	<5
Helsingborgs las.	0	60% (3/5)	<5	<5	<5
Karolinska US	35% (23/66)	37% (26/70)	39% (22/57)	35% (24/68)	45% (9/20)
Länssjh Kalmar	44% (4/9)	<5	50% (3/6)	<5	<5
Länssjh Ryhov	15% (2/13)	41% (11/27)	18% (3/17)	<5	0
Linköpings US	38% (8/21)	51% (24/47)	48% (14/29)	26% (6/23)	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	22% (4/18)	29% (5/17)	33% (6/18)	38% (6/16)	<5
Norrlands US	71% (5/7)	40% (2/5)	<5	<5	<5
Örebro US	29% (2/7)	45% (9/20)	25% (6/24)	35% (6/17)	20% (1/5)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	0	0	0	0
Östersunds sjh	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Sahlgrenska US	43% (6/14)	29% (8/28)	80% (12/15)	31% (5/16)	50% (3/6)
Skånes US Lund	48% (13/27)	31% (10/32)	55% (24/44)	42% (16/38)	45% (5/11)
Skånes US Malmö	<5	<5	43% (3/7)	17% (1/6)	<5
Skövde sjh	30% (6/20)	30% (10/33)	39% (13/33)	38% (13/34)	25% (2/8)
Sunderby sjh	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sundsvalls sjh	0	0	0	<5	0
Trelleborgs las.	0	<5	<5	20% (1/5)	0
Uddevalla sjh	<5	<5	<5	20% (1/5)	<5
Västerås sjh	62% (8/13)	33% (3/9)	25% (2/8)	<5	<5
Västerviks sjh	0	0	0	<5	0
Visby las.	0	0	<5	<5	0

Table 5.2: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached CDAI  $\leq 2.8$  1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Riket	9% (124/1384)	10% (159/1575)	10% (151/1499)	8% (118/1504)	8% (41/490)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	2% (1/49)	13% (7/55)	9% (5/57)	8% (5/64)	5% (1/20)
Aleris spec.vård Malmö	0	0	0	<5	0
Ängelholms sjh	0% (0/7)	0% (0/13)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/12)	15% (2/13)
Arvika sjh	<5	0	<5	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/5)	10% (1/10)	0% (0/6)	0% (0/13)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	22% (2/9)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/15)	0% (0/8)	<5
Borås sjh	0% (0/28)	12% (3/26)	4% (1/26)	0% (0/15)	14% (1/7)
Capio Movement	9% (3/34)	0% (0/25)	0% (0/34)	6% (3/50)	0% (0/16)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	0% (0/5)	<5	0
Centrallas. Växjö	10% (2/20)	0% (0/13)	0% (0/18)	3% (1/31)	0% (0/13)
Centralsjh Karlstad	7% (2/29)	3% (1/34)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/11)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	21% (6/29)	18% (7/38)	23% (6/26)	13% (5/38)	10% (1/10)
CFR Stockholm	15% (17/115)	11% (19/168)	15% (24/158)	11% (15/136)	20% (10/50)
Falu las.	2% (1/56)	1% (1/79)	2% (1/63)	0% (0/81)	3% (1/29)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	6% (4/64)	3% (2/64)	4% (2/51)	5% (2/43)	0% (0/13)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/14)	9% (3/35)	0% (0/27)	11% (2/19)	0% (0/6)
Karolinska US	11% (23/204)	12% (26/224)	10% (22/223)	11% (24/226)	13% (9/70)
Länssjh Kalmar	19% (4/21)	18% (4/22)	10% (3/31)	3% (1/34)	14% (1/7)
Länssjh Ryhov	4% (2/55)	17% (11/66)	4% (3/70)	0% (0/63)	0% (0/15)
Linköpings US	14% (8/57)	28% (24/86)	18% (14/77)	9% (6/64)	0% (0/19)
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	9% (4/46)	10% (5/52)	12% (6/48)	16% (6/37)	6% (1/16)
Norrlands US	14% (5/35)	4% (2/50)	3% (1/36)	4% (2/46)	0% (0/15)
Örebro US	5% (2/44)	20% (9/45)	14% (6/44)	14% (6/43)	6% (1/16)
Örnköldsviks sjh	8% (1/13)	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	6% (1/17)	0% (0/6)	10% (1/10)	3% (1/31)	14% (1/7)
Rabi Dash	<5	<5	0	0	0
Sahlgrenska US	7% (6/90)	6% (8/132)	11% (12/111)	4% (5/116)	9% (3/32)
Skånes US Lund	19% (13/70)	14% (10/69)	36% (24/67)	23% (16/71)	24% (5/21)
Skånes US Malmö	11% (2/18)	0% (0/18)	12% (3/25)	3% (1/32)	11% (1/9)
Skövde sjh	9% (6/67)	16% (10/62)	25% (13/51)	27% (13/48)	11% (2/18)
Sunderby sjh	0% (0/35)	0% (0/36)	0% (0/44)	0% (0/33)	0% (0/7)
Sundsvalls sjh	0% (0/21)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/18)	0% (0/15)	0% (0/7)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/16)	7% (1/15)	0% (0/5)
Uddevalle sjh	4% (1/27)	5% (2/37)	7% (2/30)	3% (1/30)	0% (0/12)
Västerås sjh	19% (8/43)	10% (3/30)	5% (2/40)	3% (1/34)	8% (1/12)
Västerviks sjh	0% (0/37)	0% (0/24)	0% (0/28)	4% (1/25)	0% (0/8)
Visby las.	0% (0/16)	0% (0/11)	0% (0/18)	0% (0/18)	<5