

Indicators for early RA

2020 - 2024

Published 15 February 2025

info@srq.nu



SRQ

Svensk Reumatologis Kvalitetsregister

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Process	2
3	DAS28	8
4	VAS Pain	13
5	CDAI	16

List of Tables

2.1	Percentage of patients with at least one visit in SRQ after 1 year from diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.	3
2.2	Percentage of patients with at least one DAS28 value 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.	4
2.3	Percentage of patients with at least one VAS pain value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.	5
2.4	Percentage of patients with at least one CDAI value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.	6
2.5	Percentage of patients with a registration in the cardiovascular module within 15 months (goal $\geq 75\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.	7
3.1	Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 ≤ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 75\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.	9
3.2	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 ≤ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.	10
3.3	Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 55\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.	11
3.4	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 55\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.	12

-
- | | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 4.1 | Percentage of patients (with no missing value of VAS pain) who reached VAS pain < 20 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 50\%$), by unit and year of follow-up. | 14 |
| 4.2 | Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached VAS pain <20 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. | 15 |
| 5.1 | Percentage of patients (with no missing CDAI) who reached CDAI ≤ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. | 17 |
| 5.2 | Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached CDAI ≤ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. | 18 |

1 Introduction

The following analyses are based on all patients with newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis (RA) diagnosed between 2020 and 2024 (450 days before the data extraction), with a follow-up visit one year after diagnosis (defined as a visit between 9 and 15 months). If a patient had more than one visit during this time window, the visit with the best (i.e., lowest) value of DAS28, VAS pain and CDAI, respectively, was used in the analyses.

Note that the indicators have been changed and the year is now the year of the follow-up visit instead of earlier the year of diagnosis. This change has been made in dialogue with NPO reumatiska sjukdomar and is also completed in Vården i Siffror.

2 Process

In this section, the process of evaluating patients one year after diagnosis is evaluated. We have analyzed all patients with RA as defined above.

In this section

- 2.1 Percentage of patients with at least one visit in SRQ after 1 year from diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$), by unit and year of follow-up. 3
- 2.2 Percentage of patients with at least one DAS28 value 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$), by unit and year of follow-up. 4
- 2.3 Percentage of patients with at least one VAS pain value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. 5
- 2.4 Percentage of patients with at least one CDAI value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. 6
- 2.5 Percentage of patients with a registration in the cardiovascular module within 15 months (goal $\geq 75\%$), by unit and year of follow-up. 7

Table 2.1: Percentage of patients with at least one visit in SRQ after 1 year from diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	47% (490/1034)	58% (750/1299)	64% (889/1389)	71% (1122/1582)	68% (1003/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	53% (16/30)	60% (28/47)	65% (31/48)	89% (47/53)	88% (50/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	38% (3/8)	57% (8/14)	58% (7/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	13% (2/15)	25% (4/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	55% (6/11)	42% (5/12)	56% (5/9)	89% (8/9)	36% (5/14)
Borås sjh	50% (9/18)	20% (5/25)	38% (10/26)	42% (11/26)	50% (12/24)
Capio Movement	74% (20/27)	81% (30/37)	94% (30/32)	88% (22/25)	82% (27/33)
Capio Simrishamn	38% (3/8)	27% (3/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	10% (1/10)	33% (6/18)	50% (7/14)	64% (7/11)	31% (5/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	15% (2/13)	55% (6/11)	41% (12/29)	50% (17/34)	11% (1/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	44% (7/16)	80% (32/40)	83% (24/29)	63% (24/38)	77% (20/26)
CFR Stockholm	37% (34/91)	40% (43/107)	89% (104/117)	93% (152/164)	88% (138/156)
Danderyds sjh	8% (4/50)	40% (17/42)	29% (17/58)	40% (37/92)	36% (31/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	63% (33/52)	80% (65/81)	80% (45/56)	75% (60/80)	79% (50/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	35% (12/34)	53% (26/49)	41% (26/63)	32% (21/65)	44% (22/50)
Helsingborgs las.	17% (3/18)	15% (4/27)	14% (2/14)	18% (6/34)	39% (11/28)
Karolinska US	74% (96/130)	83% (118/142)	85% (128/150)	90% (123/137)	81% (111/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	61% (11/18)	83% (5/6)	80% (16/20)	73% (16/22)	65% (20/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	45% (17/38)	55% (26/47)	38% (21/55)	58% (38/66)	35% (25/71)
Linköpings US	30% (16/53)	40% (23/57)	46% (26/57)	72% (63/87)	71% (55/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	33% (2/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	67% (18/27)	56% (20/36)	83% (40/48)	87% (45/52)	71% (35/49)
Norrlands US	76% (16/21)	80% (28/35)	97% (34/35)	92% (46/50)	89% (31/35)
Örebro US	28% (5/18)	54% (21/39)	51% (22/43)	61% (28/46)	79% (34/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	80% (4/5)	46% (6/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	44% (7/16)	83% (5/6)	90% (9/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	40% (27/67)	53% (48/91)	52% (49/95)	77% (102/132)	67% (74/111)
Skånes US Lund	72% (34/47)	62% (40/65)	68% (47/69)	71% (49/69)	74% (49/66)
Skånes US Malmö	47% (8/17)	39% (9/23)	24% (4/17)	37% (7/19)	58% (15/26)
Skövde sjh	30% (12/40)	51% (30/59)	90% (60/67)	87% (54/62)	90% (44/49)
Sunderby sjh	44% (14/32)	72% (23/32)	46% (16/35)	64% (23/36)	64% (28/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	39% (9/23)	42% (5/12)	14% (3/21)	36% (8/22)	28% (5/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	25% (2/8)	<5	67% (6/9)	80% (12/15)
Uddevalla sjh	50% (9/18)	57% (12/21)	63% (17/27)	86% (32/37)	53% (16/30)
Västerås sjh	59% (19/32)	85% (28/33)	78% (35/45)	93% (28/30)	79% (27/34)
Västerviks sjh	47% (15/32)	42% (18/43)	78% (29/37)	79% (19/24)	69% (20/29)
Visby las.	75% (9/12)	71% (10/14)	50% (8/16)	45% (5/11)	61% (11/18)

Table 2.2: Percentage of patients with at least one DAS28 value 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 90\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	33% (346/1034)	40% (522/1299)	50% (692/1389)	54% (853/1582)	51% (764/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	20% (6/30)	23% (11/47)	40% (19/48)	64% (34/53)	49% (28/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	0% (0/8)	14% (2/14)	17% (2/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/15)	12% (2/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	45% (5/11)	25% (3/12)	56% (5/9)	78% (7/9)	21% (3/14)
Borås sjh	39% (7/18)	16% (4/25)	35% (9/26)	35% (9/26)	33% (8/24)
Capio Movement	56% (15/27)	43% (16/37)	50% (16/32)	52% (13/25)	73% (24/33)
Capio Simrishamn	25% (2/8)	18% (2/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	0% (0/10)	11% (2/18)	21% (3/14)	0% (0/11)	19% (3/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	15% (2/13)	45% (5/11)	28% (8/29)	21% (7/34)	0% (0/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	25% (4/16)	62% (25/40)	66% (19/29)	61% (23/38)	62% (16/26)
CFR Stockholm	29% (26/91)	20% (21/107)	79% (93/117)	72% (118/164)	76% (119/156)
Danderyds sjh	0% (0/50)	17% (7/42)	26% (15/58)	29% (27/92)	35% (30/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	46% (24/52)	65% (53/81)	66% (37/56)	70% (56/80)	67% (42/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	26% (9/34)	45% (22/49)	29% (18/63)	26% (17/65)	40% (20/50)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/18)	0% (0/27)	0% (0/14)	3% (1/34)	4% (1/28)
Karolinska US	58% (75/130)	67% (95/142)	67% (100/150)	69% (95/137)	55% (75/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	56% (10/18)	83% (5/6)	65% (13/20)	59% (13/22)	52% (16/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	39% (15/38)	45% (21/47)	33% (18/55)	50% (33/66)	30% (21/71)
Linköpings US	23% (12/53)	35% (20/57)	39% (22/57)	56% (49/87)	52% (40/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	33% (2/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	59% (16/27)	50% (18/36)	75% (36/48)	81% (42/52)	53% (26/49)
Norrlands US	71% (15/21)	80% (28/35)	74% (26/35)	88% (44/50)	80% (28/35)
Örebro US	11% (2/18)	44% (17/39)	35% (15/43)	43% (20/46)	63% (27/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	60% (3/5)	15% (2/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	31% (5/16)	33% (2/6)	30% (3/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	16% (11/67)	24% (22/91)	39% (37/95)	55% (73/132)	45% (50/111)
Skånes US Lund	45% (21/47)	34% (22/65)	38% (26/69)	43% (30/69)	61% (40/66)
Skånes US Malmö	29% (5/17)	22% (5/23)	6% (1/17)	11% (2/19)	19% (5/26)
Skövde sjh	28% (11/40)	44% (26/59)	90% (60/67)	77% (48/62)	86% (42/49)
Sunderby sjh	22% (7/32)	41% (13/32)	43% (15/35)	42% (15/36)	52% (23/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	17% (4/23)	42% (5/12)	10% (2/21)	23% (5/22)	22% (4/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	12% (1/8)	<5	22% (2/9)	40% (6/15)
Uddevalla sjh	33% (6/18)	29% (6/21)	44% (12/27)	54% (20/37)	43% (13/30)
Västerås sjh	41% (13/32)	61% (20/33)	56% (25/45)	77% (23/30)	53% (18/34)
Västerviks sjh	41% (13/32)	30% (13/43)	70% (26/37)	67% (16/24)	62% (18/29)
Visby las.	75% (9/12)	50% (7/14)	31% (5/16)	36% (4/11)	61% (11/18)

Table 2.3: Percentage of patients with at least one VAS pain value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	38% (397/1034)	45% (579/1299)	54% (751/1389)	60% (951/1582)	56% (829/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	33% (10/30)	28% (13/47)	44% (21/48)	72% (38/53)	51% (29/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	12% (1/8)	36% (5/14)	25% (3/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	7% (1/15)	6% (1/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	45% (5/11)	25% (3/12)	56% (5/9)	89% (8/9)	21% (3/14)
Borås sjh	44% (8/18)	20% (5/25)	38% (10/26)	38% (10/26)	42% (10/24)
Capio Movement	59% (16/27)	41% (15/37)	53% (17/32)	64% (16/25)	73% (24/33)
Capio Simrishamn	25% (2/8)	27% (3/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	10% (1/10)	0% (0/18)	36% (5/14)	9% (1/11)	31% (5/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	15% (2/13)	45% (5/11)	34% (10/29)	50% (17/34)	11% (1/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	44% (7/16)	62% (25/40)	79% (23/29)	61% (23/38)	65% (17/26)
CFR Stockholm	26% (24/91)	21% (23/107)	82% (96/117)	79% (129/164)	79% (124/156)
Danderyds sjh	8% (4/50)	36% (15/42)	29% (17/58)	37% (34/92)	36% (31/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	58% (30/52)	75% (61/81)	73% (41/56)	70% (56/80)	71% (45/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	32% (11/34)	47% (23/49)	30% (19/63)	26% (17/65)	40% (20/50)
Helsingborgs las.	11% (2/18)	7% (2/27)	14% (2/14)	15% (5/34)	18% (5/28)
Karolinska US	58% (76/130)	69% (98/142)	71% (106/150)	72% (99/137)	58% (80/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	50% (9/18)	83% (5/6)	70% (14/20)	64% (14/22)	58% (18/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	45% (17/38)	55% (26/47)	38% (21/55)	55% (36/66)	32% (23/71)
Linköpings US	28% (15/53)	39% (22/57)	42% (24/57)	67% (58/87)	53% (41/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	33% (2/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	59% (16/27)	50% (18/36)	75% (36/48)	85% (44/52)	57% (28/49)
Norrlands US	76% (16/21)	80% (28/35)	86% (30/35)	90% (45/50)	83% (29/35)
Örebro US	17% (3/18)	46% (18/39)	42% (18/43)	52% (24/46)	67% (29/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	60% (3/5)	23% (3/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	31% (5/16)	33% (2/6)	50% (5/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	25% (17/67)	34% (31/91)	40% (38/95)	58% (76/132)	47% (52/111)
Skånes US Lund	47% (22/47)	35% (23/65)	42% (29/69)	54% (37/69)	68% (45/66)
Skånes US Malmö	41% (7/17)	22% (5/23)	18% (3/17)	16% (3/19)	42% (11/26)
Skövde sjh	28% (11/40)	47% (28/59)	90% (60/67)	82% (51/62)	90% (44/49)
Sunderby sjh	34% (11/32)	50% (16/32)	46% (16/35)	58% (21/36)	64% (28/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	22% (5/23)	42% (5/12)	14% (3/21)	32% (7/22)	28% (5/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	25% (2/8)	<5	67% (6/9)	73% (11/15)
Uddevalla sjh	39% (7/18)	38% (8/21)	52% (14/27)	57% (21/37)	43% (13/30)
Västerås sjh	53% (17/32)	67% (22/33)	64% (29/45)	77% (23/30)	62% (21/34)
Västerviks sjh	41% (13/32)	40% (17/43)	68% (25/37)	71% (17/24)	55% (16/29)
Visby las.	75% (9/12)	50% (7/14)	31% (5/16)	45% (5/11)	61% (11/18)

Table 2.4: Percentage of patients with at least one CDAI value 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	19% (193/1034)	20% (255/1299)	25% (352/1389)	27% (430/1582)	25% (376/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	17% (5/30)	17% (8/47)	17% (8/48)	38% (20/53)	25% (14/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	0% (0/8)	7% (1/14)	8% (1/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/15)	6% (1/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	18% (2/11)	17% (2/12)	22% (2/9)	22% (2/9)	0% (0/14)
Borås sjh	17% (3/18)	8% (2/25)	8% (2/26)	23% (6/26)	21% (5/24)
Capio Movement	15% (4/27)	19% (7/37)	31% (10/32)	8% (2/25)	3% (1/33)
Capio Simrishamn	0% (0/8)	0% (0/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	0% (0/10)	0% (0/18)	7% (1/14)	0% (0/11)	0% (0/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	15% (2/13)	36% (4/11)	17% (5/29)	18% (6/34)	0% (0/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	31% (5/16)	60% (24/40)	66% (19/29)	47% (18/38)	62% (16/26)
CFR Stockholm	7% (6/91)	9% (10/107)	56% (66/117)	37% (61/164)	40% (62/156)
Danderyds sjh	6% (3/50)	19% (8/42)	9% (5/58)	15% (14/92)	19% (16/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	0% (0/52)	1% (1/81)	2% (1/56)	1% (1/80)	2% (1/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	26% (9/34)	39% (19/49)	14% (9/63)	12% (8/65)	20% (10/50)
Helsingborgs las.	11% (2/18)	0% (0/27)	0% (0/14)	12% (4/34)	7% (2/28)
Karolinska US	45% (58/130)	43% (61/142)	41% (62/150)	41% (56/137)	29% (40/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	28% (5/18)	50% (3/6)	45% (9/20)	18% (4/22)	19% (6/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	16% (6/38)	34% (16/47)	24% (13/55)	41% (27/66)	24% (17/71)
Linköpings US	25% (13/53)	23% (13/57)	37% (21/57)	55% (48/87)	34% (26/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	33% (2/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	30% (8/27)	31% (11/36)	38% (18/48)	33% (17/52)	37% (18/49)
Norrlands US	43% (9/21)	20% (7/35)	20% (7/35)	10% (5/50)	9% (3/35)
Örebro US	0% (0/18)	13% (5/39)	16% (7/43)	43% (20/46)	56% (24/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	20% (1/5)	8% (1/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	12% (2/16)	0% (0/6)	20% (2/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	12% (8/67)	5% (5/91)	15% (14/95)	20% (27/132)	14% (15/111)
Skånes US Lund	47% (22/47)	35% (23/65)	41% (28/69)	45% (31/69)	65% (43/66)
Skånes US Malmö	24% (4/17)	13% (3/23)	12% (2/17)	11% (2/19)	27% (7/26)
Skövde sjh	8% (3/40)	5% (3/59)	30% (20/67)	55% (34/62)	67% (33/49)
Sunderby sjh	3% (1/32)	12% (4/32)	3% (1/35)	3% (1/36)	0% (0/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	0% (0/23)	0% (0/12)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/22)	0% (0/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0% (0/8)	<5	0% (0/9)	7% (1/15)
Uddevalla sjh	6% (1/18)	0% (0/21)	15% (4/27)	11% (4/37)	10% (3/30)
Västerås sjh	25% (8/32)	36% (12/33)	29% (13/45)	30% (9/30)	21% (7/34)
Västerviks sjh	9% (3/32)	5% (2/43)	0% (0/37)	0% (0/24)	0% (0/29)
Visby las.	8% (1/12)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/16)	0% (0/11)	17% (3/18)

Table 2.5: Percentage of patients with a registration in the cardiovascular module within 15 months (goal $\geq 75\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	9% (83/885)	8% (95/1126)	21% (252/1214)	32% (445/1380)	40% (515/1303)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	4% (1/26)	0% (0/42)	27% (11/41)	93% (42/45)	82% (40/49)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	0	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	0	<5	0% (0/6)	0% (0/13)	0% (0/11)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/13)	7% (1/14)	<5	0% (0/7)	<5
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	0% (0/10)	0% (0/12)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/8)	0% (0/13)
Borås sjh	0% (0/17)	0% (0/20)	0% (0/24)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/23)
Capio Movement	0% (0/25)	0% (0/33)	4% (1/25)	55% (12/22)	76% (22/29)
Capio Simrishamn	0% (0/8)	0% (0/10)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	0% (0/9)	0% (0/14)	33% (4/12)	70% (7/10)	36% (5/14)
Centralsjh Karlstad	0% (0/13)	0% (0/10)	0% (0/22)	0% (0/29)	0% (0/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	0% (0/16)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/26)	9% (3/35)	26% (6/23)
CFR Stockholm	0% (0/64)	6% (5/89)	53% (50/94)	71% (96/135)	85% (113/133)
Danderyds sjh	0% (0/45)	0% (0/32)	28% (15/53)	73% (59/81)	61% (47/77)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	11% (5/47)	7% (5/74)	63% (32/51)	65% (48/74)	55% (31/56)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	0% (0/33)	0% (0/46)	0% (0/55)	0% (0/60)	0% (0/46)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/15)	0% (0/24)	0% (0/12)	0% (0/25)	0% (0/26)
Karolinska US	59% (66/112)	64% (79/123)	53% (70/132)	48% (56/117)	65% (78/120)
Länssjh Kalmar	0% (0/16)	0% (0/5)	0% (0/19)	0% (0/20)	0% (0/26)
Länssjh Ryhov	0% (0/33)	0% (0/41)	0% (0/52)	0% (0/58)	0% (0/61)
Linköpings US	0% (0/46)	2% (1/52)	2% (1/50)	1% (1/76)	1% (1/70)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	50% (3/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	0% (0/25)	0% (0/29)	5% (2/43)	0% (0/46)	2% (1/46)
Norrlands US	0% (0/16)	0% (0/27)	0% (0/26)	20% (9/44)	58% (18/31)
Örebro US	0% (0/15)	3% (1/30)	0% (0/35)	10% (4/41)	22% (8/37)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	<5	0% (0/9)	<5	0
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	0% (0/15)	80% (4/5)	78% (7/9)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	0% (0/58)	0% (0/77)	12% (10/80)	29% (33/112)	35% (34/98)
Skånes US Lund	24% (9/37)	2% (1/55)	0% (0/63)	18% (11/60)	70% (39/56)
Skånes US Malmö	0% (0/11)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/15)	0% (0/17)	0% (0/19)
Skövde sjh	0% (0/38)	0% (0/54)	34% (21/61)	59% (32/54)	86% (37/43)
Sunderby sjh	0% (0/28)	0% (0/26)	0% (0/33)	0% (0/33)	0% (0/37)
Sundsvalls sjh	0% (0/20)	0% (0/10)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/20)	0% (0/17)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0% (0/8)	<5	0% (0/7)	0% (0/13)
Uddevalla sjh	0% (0/15)	0% (0/20)	23% (6/26)	14% (5/35)	11% (3/28)
Västerås sjh	5% (1/22)	7% (2/27)	54% (21/39)	57% (16/28)	57% (17/30)
Västerviks sjh	4% (1/28)	0% (0/38)	0% (0/33)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/23)
Visby las.	0% (0/12)	0% (0/14)	33% (5/15)	50% (5/10)	50% (8/16)

3 DAS28

In this section

- 3.1 Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 ≤ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 75\%$), by unit and year of follow-up. 9
- 3.2 Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 ≤ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. 10
- 3.3 Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 55\%$), by unit and year of follow-up. 11
- 3.4 Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 55\%$), by unit and year of follow-up. 12

Table 3.1: Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 ≤ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 75\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	65% (225/346)	69% (360/522)	69% (476/692)	73% (619/853)	72% (553/764)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	83% (5/6)	100% (11/11)	68% (13/19)	76% (26/34)	75% (21/28)
Ängelsholms sjh	0	0	0	<5	<5
Arvika sjh	0	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0	<5	0	<5	0
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	80% (4/5)	<5	100% (5/5)	86% (6/7)	<5
Borås sjh	57% (4/7)	<5	67% (6/9)	67% (6/9)	88% (7/8)
Capio Movement	73% (11/15)	81% (13/16)	75% (12/16)	92% (12/13)	62% (15/24)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	<5	0	0
Centrallas. Växjö	0	<5	<5	0	<5
Centralsjh Karlstad	<5	80% (4/5)	75% (6/8)	86% (6/7)	0
Centralsjh Kristianstad	<5	72% (18/25)	79% (15/19)	65% (15/23)	62% (10/16)
CFR Stockholm	69% (18/26)	57% (12/21)	70% (65/93)	73% (86/118)	69% (82/119)
Danderyds sjh	0	57% (4/7)	53% (8/15)	67% (18/27)	67% (20/30)
Falu las.	62% (15/24)	79% (42/53)	84% (31/37)	73% (41/56)	83% (35/42)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	44% (4/9)	64% (14/22)	61% (11/18)	71% (12/17)	60% (12/20)
Helsingborgs las.	0	0	0	<5	<5
Karolinska US	87% (65/75)	75% (71/95)	68% (68/100)	79% (75/95)	84% (63/75)
Länssjh Kalmar	60% (6/10)	100% (5/5)	62% (8/13)	85% (11/13)	75% (12/16)
Länssjh Ryhov	27% (4/15)	62% (13/21)	61% (11/18)	85% (28/33)	48% (10/21)
Linköpings US	83% (10/12)	80% (16/20)	86% (19/22)	78% (38/49)	82% (33/40)
Ljungby las.	0	0	<5	<5	0
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	50% (8/16)	72% (13/18)	72% (26/36)	62% (26/42)	77% (20/26)
Norrlands US	53% (8/15)	54% (15/28)	58% (15/26)	68% (30/44)	68% (19/28)
Örebro US	<5	53% (9/17)	73% (11/15)	85% (17/20)	78% (21/27)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	0	<5	40% (2/5)	<5	<5
Rabi Dash	0	0	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	82% (9/11)	73% (16/22)	68% (25/37)	74% (54/73)	86% (43/50)
Skånes US Lund	48% (10/21)	55% (12/22)	65% (17/26)	57% (17/30)	72% (29/40)
Skånes US Malmö	60% (3/5)	40% (2/5)	<5	<5	60% (3/5)
Skövde sjh	45% (5/11)	62% (16/26)	65% (39/60)	65% (31/48)	71% (30/42)
Sunderby sjh	57% (4/7)	69% (9/13)	40% (6/15)	67% (10/15)	43% (10/23)
Sundsvalls sjh	<5	80% (4/5)	<5	40% (2/5)	<5
Trelleborgs las.	0	<5	0	<5	83% (5/6)
Uddevalla sjh	33% (2/6)	67% (4/6)	67% (8/12)	80% (16/20)	85% (11/13)
Västerås sjh	77% (10/13)	65% (13/20)	80% (20/25)	65% (15/23)	56% (10/18)
Västerviks sjh	54% (7/13)	46% (6/13)	62% (16/26)	75% (12/16)	61% (11/18)
Visby las.	78% (7/9)	71% (5/7)	60% (3/5)	<5	73% (8/11)

Table 3.2: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached low disease activity (DAS28 ≤ 3.2) 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	22% (225/1034)	28% (360/1299)	34% (476/1389)	39% (619/1582)	37% (553/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	17% (5/30)	23% (11/47)	27% (13/48)	49% (26/53)	37% (21/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	0% (0/8)	0% (0/14)	8% (1/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/15)	6% (1/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	36% (4/11)	25% (3/12)	56% (5/9)	67% (6/9)	21% (3/14)
Borås sjh	22% (4/18)	8% (2/25)	23% (6/26)	23% (6/26)	29% (7/24)
Capio Movement	41% (11/27)	35% (13/37)	38% (12/32)	48% (12/25)	45% (15/33)
Capio Simrishamn	25% (2/8)	9% (1/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	0% (0/10)	11% (2/18)	21% (3/14)	0% (0/11)	19% (3/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	0% (0/13)	36% (4/11)	21% (6/29)	18% (6/34)	0% (0/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	12% (2/16)	45% (18/40)	52% (15/29)	39% (15/38)	38% (10/26)
CFR Stockholm	20% (18/91)	11% (12/107)	56% (65/117)	52% (86/164)	53% (82/156)
Danderyds sjh	0% (0/50)	10% (4/42)	14% (8/58)	20% (18/92)	24% (20/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	29% (15/52)	52% (42/81)	55% (31/56)	51% (41/80)	56% (35/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	12% (4/34)	29% (14/49)	17% (11/63)	18% (12/65)	24% (12/50)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/18)	0% (0/27)	0% (0/14)	3% (1/34)	0% (0/28)
Karolinska US	50% (65/130)	50% (71/142)	45% (68/150)	55% (75/137)	46% (63/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	33% (6/18)	83% (5/6)	40% (8/20)	50% (11/22)	39% (12/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	11% (4/38)	28% (13/47)	20% (11/55)	42% (28/66)	14% (10/71)
Linköpings US	19% (10/53)	28% (16/57)	33% (19/57)	44% (38/87)	43% (33/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	33% (2/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	30% (8/27)	36% (13/36)	54% (26/48)	50% (26/52)	41% (20/49)
Norrlands US	38% (8/21)	43% (15/35)	43% (15/35)	60% (30/50)	54% (19/35)
Örebro US	0% (0/18)	23% (9/39)	26% (11/43)	37% (17/46)	49% (21/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	40% (2/5)	8% (1/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	12% (2/16)	17% (1/6)	20% (2/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	13% (9/67)	18% (16/91)	26% (25/95)	41% (54/132)	39% (43/111)
Skånes US Lund	21% (10/47)	18% (12/65)	25% (17/69)	25% (17/69)	44% (29/66)
Skånes US Malmö	18% (3/17)	9% (2/23)	6% (1/17)	5% (1/19)	12% (3/26)
Skövde sjh	12% (5/40)	27% (16/59)	58% (39/67)	50% (31/62)	61% (30/49)
Sunderby sjh	12% (4/32)	28% (9/32)	17% (6/35)	28% (10/36)	23% (10/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	9% (2/23)	33% (4/12)	10% (2/21)	9% (2/22)	17% (3/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0% (0/8)	<5	22% (2/9)	33% (5/15)
Uddevalla sjh	11% (2/18)	19% (4/21)	30% (8/27)	43% (16/37)	37% (11/30)
Västerås sjh	31% (10/32)	39% (13/33)	44% (20/45)	50% (15/30)	29% (10/34)
Västerviks sjh	22% (7/32)	14% (6/43)	43% (16/37)	50% (12/24)	38% (11/29)
Visby las.	58% (7/12)	36% (5/14)	19% (3/16)	18% (2/11)	44% (8/18)

Table 3.3: Percentage of patients (with no missing DAS 28) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq 55\%$), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	47% (162/346)	51% (264/522)	52% (357/692)	54% (459/853)	55% (422/764)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	67% (4/6)	82% (9/11)	37% (7/19)	50% (17/34)	54% (15/28)
Ängelsholms sjh	0	0	0	<5	<5
Arvika sjh	0	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0	<5	0	<5	0
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	80% (4/5)	<5	80% (4/5)	86% (6/7)	<5
Borås sjh	29% (2/7)	<5	44% (4/9)	44% (4/9)	88% (7/8)
Capio Movement	40% (6/15)	69% (11/16)	69% (11/16)	69% (9/13)	42% (10/24)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	<5	0	0
Centrallas. Växjö	0	<5	<5	0	<5
Centralsjh Karlstad	<5	60% (3/5)	50% (4/8)	71% (5/7)	0
Centralsjh Kristianstad	<5	40% (10/25)	53% (10/19)	52% (12/23)	38% (6/16)
CFR Stockholm	50% (13/26)	43% (9/21)	49% (46/93)	58% (68/118)	53% (63/119)
Danderyds sjh	0	29% (2/7)	33% (5/15)	48% (13/27)	57% (17/30)
Falu las.	42% (10/24)	62% (33/53)	59% (22/37)	55% (31/56)	74% (31/42)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	33% (3/9)	36% (8/22)	39% (7/18)	59% (10/17)	40% (8/20)
Helsingborgs las.	0	0	0	<5	<5
Karolinska US	65% (49/75)	55% (52/95)	50% (50/100)	60% (57/95)	65% (49/75)
Länssjh Kalmar	30% (3/10)	80% (4/5)	46% (6/13)	62% (8/13)	69% (11/16)
Länssjh Ryhov	20% (3/15)	43% (9/21)	44% (8/18)	58% (19/33)	29% (6/21)
Linköpings US	58% (7/12)	70% (14/20)	59% (13/22)	71% (35/49)	75% (30/40)
Ljungby las.	0	0	<5	<5	0
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	44% (7/16)	50% (9/18)	50% (18/36)	45% (19/42)	54% (14/26)
Norrlands US	47% (7/15)	46% (13/28)	50% (13/26)	39% (17/44)	50% (14/28)
Örebro US	<5	35% (6/17)	67% (10/15)	50% (10/20)	63% (17/27)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	0	<5	20% (1/5)	<5	<5
Rabi Dash	0	0	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	36% (4/11)	50% (11/22)	51% (19/37)	47% (34/73)	64% (32/50)
Skånes US Lund	33% (7/21)	32% (7/22)	62% (16/26)	40% (12/30)	45% (18/40)
Skånes US Malmö	40% (2/5)	20% (1/5)	<5	<5	20% (1/5)
Skövde sjh	18% (2/11)	46% (12/26)	52% (31/60)	50% (24/48)	52% (22/42)
Sunderby sjh	29% (2/7)	46% (6/13)	33% (5/15)	53% (8/15)	22% (5/23)
Sundsvalls sjh	<5	60% (3/5)	<5	0% (0/5)	<5
Trelleborgs las.	0	<5	0	<5	83% (5/6)
Uddevalla sjh	33% (2/6)	50% (3/6)	50% (6/12)	70% (14/20)	77% (10/13)
Västerås sjh	54% (7/13)	55% (11/20)	68% (17/25)	52% (12/23)	39% (7/18)
Västerviks sjh	54% (7/13)	46% (6/13)	54% (14/26)	50% (8/16)	44% (8/18)
Visby las.	78% (7/9)	43% (3/7)	40% (2/5)	<5	55% (6/11)

Table 3.4: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached remission (DAS28 < 2.6) 1 year after diagnosis (goal ≥ 55%), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	16% (162/1034)	20% (264/1299)	26% (357/1389)	29% (459/1582)	28% (422/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	13% (4/30)	19% (9/47)	15% (7/48)	32% (17/53)	26% (15/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	0% (0/8)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/15)	0% (0/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	36% (4/11)	25% (3/12)	44% (4/9)	67% (6/9)	14% (2/14)
Borås sjh	11% (2/18)	4% (1/25)	15% (4/26)	15% (4/26)	29% (7/24)
Capio Movement	22% (6/27)	30% (11/37)	34% (11/32)	36% (9/25)	30% (10/33)
Capio Simrishamn	12% (1/8)	9% (1/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	0% (0/10)	6% (1/18)	14% (2/14)	0% (0/11)	19% (3/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	0% (0/13)	27% (3/11)	14% (4/29)	15% (5/34)	0% (0/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	12% (2/16)	25% (10/40)	34% (10/29)	32% (12/38)	23% (6/26)
CFR Stockholm	14% (13/91)	8% (9/107)	39% (46/117)	41% (68/164)	40% (63/156)
Danderyds sjh	0% (0/50)	5% (2/42)	9% (5/58)	14% (13/92)	20% (17/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	19% (10/52)	41% (33/81)	39% (22/56)	39% (31/80)	49% (31/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	9% (3/34)	16% (8/49)	11% (7/63)	15% (10/65)	16% (8/50)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/18)	0% (0/27)	0% (0/14)	3% (1/34)	0% (0/28)
Karolinska US	38% (49/130)	37% (52/142)	33% (50/150)	42% (57/137)	36% (49/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	17% (3/18)	67% (4/6)	30% (6/20)	36% (8/22)	35% (11/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	8% (3/38)	19% (9/47)	15% (8/55)	29% (19/66)	8% (6/71)
Linköpings US	13% (7/53)	25% (14/57)	23% (13/57)	40% (35/87)	39% (30/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	33% (2/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	26% (7/27)	25% (9/36)	38% (18/48)	37% (19/52)	29% (14/49)
Norrlands US	33% (7/21)	37% (13/35)	37% (13/35)	34% (17/50)	40% (14/35)
Örebro US	0% (0/18)	15% (6/39)	23% (10/43)	22% (10/46)	40% (17/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	40% (2/5)	8% (1/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	6% (1/16)	17% (1/6)	10% (1/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	6% (4/67)	12% (11/91)	20% (19/95)	26% (34/132)	29% (32/111)
Skånes US Lund	15% (7/47)	11% (7/65)	23% (16/69)	17% (12/69)	27% (18/66)
Skånes US Malmö	12% (2/17)	4% (1/23)	6% (1/17)	0% (0/19)	4% (1/26)
Skövde sjh	5% (2/40)	20% (12/59)	46% (31/67)	39% (24/62)	45% (22/49)
Sunderby sjh	6% (2/32)	19% (6/32)	14% (5/35)	22% (8/36)	11% (5/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	4% (1/23)	25% (3/12)	10% (2/21)	0% (0/22)	17% (3/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0% (0/8)	<5	22% (2/9)	33% (5/15)
Uddevalla sjh	11% (2/18)	14% (3/21)	22% (6/27)	38% (14/37)	33% (10/30)
Västerås sjh	22% (7/32)	33% (11/33)	38% (17/45)	40% (12/30)	21% (7/34)
Västerviks sjh	22% (7/32)	14% (6/43)	38% (14/37)	33% (8/24)	28% (8/29)
Visby las.	58% (7/12)	21% (3/14)	12% (2/16)	9% (1/11)	33% (6/18)

4 VAS Pain

In this section

- 4.1 Percentage of patients (with no missing value of VAS pain) who reached VAS pain < 20 1 year after diagnosis (goal >= 50%), by unit and year of follow-up. 14
- 4.2 Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached VAS pain <20 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up. 15

Table 4.1: Percentage of patients (with no missing value of VAS pain) who reached VAS pain < 20 1 year after diagnosis (goal $\geq$ 50%), by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	32% (126/397)	33% (189/579)	35% (260/751)	34% (323/951)	33% (271/829)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	30% (3/10)	31% (4/13)	19% (4/21)	39% (15/38)	38% (11/29)
Ängelsholms sjh	0	0	<5	0% (0/5)	<5
Arvika sjh	0	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	<5	<5	0	<5	0
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	80% (4/5)	<5	60% (3/5)	12% (1/8)	<5
Borås sjh	50% (4/8)	20% (1/5)	30% (3/10)	40% (4/10)	50% (5/10)
Capio Movement	25% (4/16)	33% (5/15)	47% (8/17)	38% (6/16)	21% (5/24)
Capio Simrishamn	<5	<5	<5	0	0
Centrallas. Växjö	<5	0	40% (2/5)	<5	20% (1/5)
Centralsjh Karlstad	<5	0% (0/5)	50% (5/10)	35% (6/17)	<5
Centralsjh Kristianstad	29% (2/7)	12% (3/25)	43% (10/23)	48% (11/23)	24% (4/17)
CFR Stockholm	38% (9/24)	35% (8/23)	31% (30/96)	30% (39/129)	37% (46/124)
Danderyds sjh	<5	53% (8/15)	35% (6/17)	29% (10/34)	29% (9/31)
Falu las.	30% (9/30)	39% (24/61)	37% (15/41)	29% (16/56)	22% (10/45)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	18% (2/11)	22% (5/23)	16% (3/19)	35% (6/17)	15% (3/20)
Helsingborgs las.	<5	<5	<5	60% (3/5)	20% (1/5)
Karolinska US	46% (35/76)	37% (36/98)	36% (38/106)	42% (42/99)	51% (41/80)
Länssjh Kalmar	33% (3/9)	20% (1/5)	21% (3/14)	43% (6/14)	22% (4/18)
Länssjh Ryhov	35% (6/17)	38% (10/26)	38% (8/21)	42% (15/36)	22% (5/23)
Linköpings US	20% (3/15)	41% (9/22)	21% (5/24)	36% (21/58)	32% (13/41)
Ljungby las.	0	0	<5	<5	0
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	25% (4/16)	28% (5/18)	44% (16/36)	23% (10/44)	14% (4/28)
Norrlands US	12% (2/16)	39% (11/28)	40% (12/30)	22% (10/45)	10% (3/29)
Örebro US	<5	22% (4/18)	39% (7/18)	29% (7/24)	48% (14/29)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	0	<5	20% (1/5)	<5	20% (1/5)
Rabi Dash	0	0	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	29% (5/17)	26% (8/31)	34% (13/38)	34% (26/76)	44% (23/52)
Skånes US Lund	45% (10/22)	30% (7/23)	52% (15/29)	38% (14/37)	42% (19/45)
Skånes US Malmö	14% (1/7)	20% (1/5)	<5	<5	36% (4/11)
Skövde sjh	9% (1/11)	25% (7/28)	33% (20/60)	41% (21/51)	34% (15/44)
Sunderby sjh	18% (2/11)	56% (9/16)	19% (3/16)	33% (7/21)	25% (7/28)
Sundsvalls sjh	20% (1/5)	0% (0/5)	<5	14% (1/7)	20% (1/5)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	<5	<5	67% (4/6)	18% (2/11)
Uddevalla sjh	14% (1/7)	12% (1/8)	7% (1/14)	38% (8/21)	38% (5/13)
Västerås sjh	47% (8/17)	45% (10/22)	41% (12/29)	26% (6/23)	33% (7/21)
Västerviks sjh	15% (2/13)	12% (2/17)	36% (9/25)	35% (6/17)	12% (2/16)
Visby las.	22% (2/9)	29% (2/7)	20% (1/5)	0% (0/5)	18% (2/11)

Table 4.2: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached VAS pain <20 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	12% (126/1034)	15% (189/1299)	19% (260/1389)	20% (323/1582)	18% (271/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	10% (3/30)	9% (4/47)	8% (4/48)	28% (15/53)	19% (11/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	12% (1/8)	0% (0/14)	8% (1/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/15)	6% (1/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	36% (4/11)	8% (1/12)	33% (3/9)	11% (1/9)	14% (2/14)
Borås sjh	22% (4/18)	4% (1/25)	12% (3/26)	15% (4/26)	21% (5/24)
Capio Movement	15% (4/27)	14% (5/37)	25% (8/32)	24% (6/25)	15% (5/33)
Capio Simrishamn	12% (1/8)	18% (2/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	0% (0/10)	0% (0/18)	14% (2/14)	0% (0/11)	6% (1/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	0% (0/13)	0% (0/11)	17% (5/29)	18% (6/34)	0% (0/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	12% (2/16)	8% (3/40)	34% (10/29)	29% (11/38)	15% (4/26)
CFR Stockholm	10% (9/91)	7% (8/107)	26% (30/117)	24% (39/164)	29% (46/156)
Danderyds sjh	2% (1/50)	19% (8/42)	10% (6/58)	11% (10/92)	11% (9/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	17% (9/52)	30% (24/81)	27% (15/56)	20% (16/80)	16% (10/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	6% (2/34)	10% (5/49)	5% (3/63)	9% (6/65)	6% (3/50)
Helsingborgs las.	0% (0/18)	4% (1/27)	7% (1/14)	9% (3/34)	4% (1/28)
Karolinska US	27% (35/130)	25% (36/142)	25% (38/150)	31% (42/137)	30% (41/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	17% (3/18)	17% (1/6)	15% (3/20)	27% (6/22)	13% (4/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	16% (6/38)	21% (10/47)	15% (8/55)	23% (15/66)	7% (5/71)
Linköpings US	6% (3/53)	16% (9/57)	9% (5/57)	24% (21/87)	17% (13/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	0% (0/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	15% (4/27)	14% (5/36)	33% (16/48)	19% (10/52)	8% (4/49)
Norrlands US	10% (2/21)	31% (11/35)	34% (12/35)	20% (10/50)	9% (3/35)
Örebro US	0% (0/18)	10% (4/39)	16% (7/43)	15% (7/46)	33% (14/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	40% (2/5)	8% (1/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	6% (1/16)	0% (0/6)	10% (1/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	7% (5/67)	9% (8/91)	14% (13/95)	20% (26/132)	21% (23/111)
Skånes US Lund	21% (10/47)	11% (7/65)	22% (15/69)	20% (14/69)	29% (19/66)
Skånes US Malmö	6% (1/17)	4% (1/23)	12% (2/17)	0% (0/19)	15% (4/26)
Skövde sjh	2% (1/40)	12% (7/59)	30% (20/67)	34% (21/62)	31% (15/49)
Sunderby sjh	6% (2/32)	28% (9/32)	9% (3/35)	19% (7/36)	16% (7/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	4% (1/23)	0% (0/12)	5% (1/21)	5% (1/22)	6% (1/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0% (0/8)	<5	44% (4/9)	13% (2/15)
Uddevalla sjh	6% (1/18)	5% (1/21)	4% (1/27)	22% (8/37)	17% (5/30)
Västerås sjh	25% (8/32)	30% (10/33)	27% (12/45)	20% (6/30)	21% (7/34)
Västerviks sjh	6% (2/32)	5% (2/43)	24% (9/37)	25% (6/24)	7% (2/29)
Visby las.	17% (2/12)	14% (2/14)	6% (1/16)	0% (0/11)	11% (2/18)

5 CDAI

In this section

5.1	Percentage of patients (with no missing CDAI) who reached CDAI $\leq$ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.	17
5.2	Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached CDAI $\leq$ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.	18

Table 5.1: Percentage of patients (with no missing CDAI) who reached CDAI ≤ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	37% (71/193)	33% (84/255)	35% (124/352)	37% (159/430)	40% (149/376)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	60% (3/5)	38% (3/8)	12% (1/8)	35% (7/20)	36% (5/14)
Ängelsholms sjh	0	0	0	<5	<5
Blekingesjh	0	<5	0	<5	0
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	<5	<5	<5	<5	0
Borås sjh	<5	<5	<5	50% (3/6)	20% (1/5)
Capio Movement	<5	71% (5/7)	30% (3/10)	<5	<5
Centrallas. Växjö	0	0	<5	0	0
Centralsjh Karlstad	<5	<5	40% (2/5)	17% (1/6)	0
Centralsjh Kristianstad	20% (1/5)	25% (6/24)	32% (6/19)	39% (7/18)	38% (6/16)
CFR Stockholm	50% (3/6)	30% (3/10)	26% (17/66)	31% (19/61)	39% (24/62)
Danderyds sjh	<5	25% (2/8)	0% (0/5)	36% (5/14)	31% (5/16)
Falu las.	0	<5	<5	<5	<5
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	33% (3/9)	21% (4/19)	44% (4/9)	25% (2/8)	20% (2/10)
Helsingborgs las.	<5	0	0	<5	<5
Karolinska US	55% (32/58)	38% (23/61)	37% (23/62)	36% (20/56)	40% (16/40)
Länssjh Kalmar	40% (2/5)	<5	44% (4/9)	<5	50% (3/6)
Länssjh Ryhov	33% (2/6)	44% (7/16)	15% (2/13)	41% (11/27)	18% (3/17)
Linköpings US	31% (4/13)	46% (6/13)	38% (8/21)	52% (25/48)	50% (13/26)
Ljungby las.	0	0	<5	<5	0
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	12% (1/8)	18% (2/11)	17% (3/18)	29% (5/17)	33% (6/18)
Norrlands US	11% (1/9)	14% (1/7)	71% (5/7)	40% (2/5)	<5
Örebro US	0	0% (0/5)	29% (2/7)	45% (9/20)	25% (6/24)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	<5	<5	0	0
Östersunds sjh	0	0	<5	0	<5
Sahlgrenska US	38% (3/8)	20% (1/5)	43% (6/14)	26% (7/27)	80% (12/15)
Skånes US Lund	23% (5/22)	26% (6/23)	50% (14/28)	32% (10/31)	56% (24/43)
Skånes US Malmö	<5	<5	<5	<5	43% (3/7)
Skövde sjh	<5	<5	30% (6/20)	32% (11/34)	39% (13/33)
Sunderby sjh	<5	<5	<5	<5	0
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0	0	0	<5
Uddevalla sjh	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Västerås sjh	62% (5/8)	50% (6/12)	62% (8/13)	33% (3/9)	29% (2/7)
Västerviks sjh	<5	<5	0	0	0
Visby las.	<5	0	0	0	<5

Table 5.2: Percentage of all patients (including patients with missing data) who reached CDAI ≤ 2.8 1 year after diagnosis, by unit and year of follow-up.

Unit	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Riket	7% (71/1034)	6% (84/1299)	9% (124/1389)	10% (159/1582)	10% (149/1484)
Akademiska sjh Uppsala	10% (3/30)	6% (3/47)	2% (1/48)	13% (7/53)	9% (5/57)
Alingsås las.	<5	0	<5	<5	<5
Ängelsholms sjh	<5	<5	0% (0/8)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/12)
Arvika sjh	<5	<5	0	0	<5
Blekingesjh	0% (0/15)	0% (0/16)	<5	11% (1/9)	0% (0/6)
Bollnäs/Söderhamn sjh	0% (0/11)	17% (2/12)	22% (2/9)	0% (0/9)	0% (0/14)
Borås sjh	6% (1/18)	0% (0/25)	0% (0/26)	12% (3/26)	4% (1/24)
Capio Movement	0% (0/27)	14% (5/37)	9% (3/32)	0% (0/25)	0% (0/33)
Capio Simrishamn	0% (0/8)	0% (0/11)	<5	<5	0% (0/5)
Centrallas. Växjö	0% (0/10)	0% (0/18)	7% (1/14)	0% (0/11)	0% (0/16)
Centralsjh Karlstad	0% (0/13)	0% (0/11)	7% (2/29)	3% (1/34)	0% (0/9)
Centralsjh Kristianstad	6% (1/16)	15% (6/40)	21% (6/29)	18% (7/38)	23% (6/26)
CFR Stockholm	3% (3/91)	3% (3/107)	15% (17/117)	12% (19/164)	15% (24/156)
Danderyds sjh	0% (0/50)	5% (2/42)	0% (0/58)	5% (5/92)	6% (5/85)
Falkenberg VC	0	0	<5	0	0
Falu las.	0% (0/52)	0% (0/81)	2% (1/56)	1% (1/80)	2% (1/63)
Gävle/Hudiksvall sjh	9% (3/34)	8% (4/49)	6% (4/63)	3% (2/65)	4% (2/50)
Helsingborgs las.	6% (1/18)	0% (0/27)	0% (0/14)	9% (3/34)	0% (0/28)
Karolinska US	25% (32/130)	16% (23/142)	15% (23/150)	15% (20/137)	12% (16/137)
Länssjh Kalmar	11% (2/18)	17% (1/6)	20% (4/20)	18% (4/22)	10% (3/31)
Länssjh Ryhov	5% (2/38)	15% (7/47)	4% (2/55)	17% (11/66)	4% (3/71)
Linköpings US	8% (4/53)	11% (6/57)	14% (8/57)	29% (25/87)	17% (13/77)
Ljungby las.	<5	<5	17% (1/6)	<5	<5
Mälarsjh Eskilstuna	4% (1/27)	6% (2/36)	6% (3/48)	10% (5/52)	12% (6/49)
Norrlands US	5% (1/21)	3% (1/35)	14% (5/35)	4% (2/50)	3% (1/35)
Örebro US	0% (0/18)	0% (0/39)	5% (2/43)	20% (9/46)	14% (6/43)
Örnsköldsviks sjh	<5	20% (1/5)	8% (1/13)	<5	<5
Östersunds sjh	<5	<5	6% (1/16)	0% (0/6)	10% (1/10)
Rabi Dash	0	<5	<5	<5	0
Sahlgrenska US	4% (3/67)	1% (1/91)	6% (6/95)	5% (7/132)	11% (12/111)
Skånes US Lund	11% (5/47)	9% (6/65)	20% (14/69)	14% (10/69)	36% (24/66)
Skånes US Malmö	12% (2/17)	0% (0/23)	12% (2/17)	0% (0/19)	12% (3/26)
Skövde sjh	2% (1/40)	2% (1/59)	9% (6/67)	18% (11/62)	27% (13/49)
Sunderby sjh	0% (0/32)	9% (3/32)	0% (0/35)	0% (0/36)	0% (0/44)
Sundsvalls sjh	0% (0/23)	0% (0/12)	0% (0/21)	0% (0/22)	0% (0/18)
Trelleborgs las.	<5	0% (0/8)	<5	0% (0/9)	0% (0/15)
Uddevalla sjh	0% (0/18)	0% (0/21)	4% (1/27)	5% (2/37)	7% (2/30)
Västerås sjh	16% (5/32)	18% (6/33)	18% (8/45)	10% (3/30)	6% (2/34)
Västerviks sjh	0% (0/32)	2% (1/43)	0% (0/37)	0% (0/24)	0% (0/29)
Visby las.	8% (1/12)	0% (0/14)	0% (0/16)	0% (0/11)	0% (0/18)